

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/670,969	09/25/2003	Slawomir J. Swillo	2115-002406	7025
27572 7.	590 09/21/2004		EXAM	INER
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			MARTIR, LILYBETT	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
			DATE MAILED: 09/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			K
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
• 1	10/670,969	SWILLO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lilybett Martir	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic: - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) M by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n .	·	
•	This action is non-final.	_	
3) Since this application is in condition for		atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	٠,
Disposition of Claims		in the second	•
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-8,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 16</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	003 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or be not to the drawing(s) be held in abe a correction is required if the drawing.	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/03.	948) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/670,969 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,5-8 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dolezal et al. (Pat. 4,186,610) in view of Vachon (Pat.4,591,996).
 - With respect to claims 1 and 14, Dolezal et al. teaches a vision system 2 configured to take a plan view image and a profile image of a line traversing a width of the band after deformation, the line is marked on the band before deformation (Col. 4-5, lines 64-2), an image acquisition system 4a-4f and 3a-ad (Col. 2, lines 51-62 and Col. 4, lines 26-31) in operable communication with the vision system; and a computer system 4g in operable communication with the image acquisition system, wherein the computer system includes a digital processing software. Dolezal et al. fails to specifically teach strain calculation software that determine a two-dimensional transformation of the line after deformation, compare the line before deformation to the two-dimensional transformation of the line after deformation, and determine the strain of the bent band. Vachon teaches the utilization of strain calculation software (Col. 15, lines 3-15). It would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/670,969 Page 3

Art Unit: 2855

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of evaluating apparatus of Dolezal utilizing the teachings of the strain determining apparatus of Vachon by programming it's computer to perform strain determination functions to further increase it's versatility.

- With respect to claims 15, Dolezal et al. teaches the vision system includes at least one camera 2.
- With respect to claims 5-7, Dolezal et al. teaches deformation includes different angle loading that may include large-angle bending, hemming and flanging (Col. 1, lines 41-48).
- With respect to claims 8, Dolezal et al. teaches marking a single line includes marking a single area having a boundary line (Col. 4-5, lines 64-2).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 9-13 are allowed. Claims 2-4 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, or if the limitations in said claims are introduced in the base claim, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2855

Conclusion

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilybett Martir whose telephone number is (571)272-2182. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571)272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lilybett Martir Examiner Art Unit 2855

RCM

MAX NOORI
PRIMARY EXAMINATION